

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.1662 OF 2007
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1663 OF 2007

Amritpal Bhupindersingh
Chandok and Ors. ..Petitioners
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra
and Anr. ..Respondents

...
Mr.P.R.Dave Advocate for Petitioners
Mr.P.S.Hingorani APP for Respondent No.1
Mr.Milind Dhande i/b C.K.Talekar for
Respondent No.2
...

CORAM: R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR, AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, JJ.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 11, 2007

P.C.:

1. Heard the advocates for the parties. The Petitioners as well as the Respondent No.2 are present in person. Affidavits filed by the Respondent No.2 in both the petitions, are taken on record. The Respondent No.2 has also made a statement before us that all the disputes between the parties have been settled and she is no more interested in pursuing with her complaint against the Petitioners. In view of the said statement in her affidavits filed by the Respondent No.2, no purpose would be served in continuing with the

investigation in relation to CR No.95 of 2003 registered on 13th May, 2003 at BKC Police Station, Mumbai and CR No. 432 of 2001 registered on 16th November, 2001 at Santacruz Police Station, Mumbai. Hence, the impugned FIRs are hereby quashed. In view of quashing of impugned FIRs, nothing further survives in these petitions and while quashing the said FIRs, petitions are disposed of.

2. As regards the prayer clauses (b) and (c) in Writ Petition No.1662 of 2007 are concerned, question of issuance of directions in the manner asked for, by this Court in writ jurisdiction, does not arise and the parties will have to make appropriate application in terms of Section 451 of Code of Criminal Procedure before the concerned Magistrate. In case such application is filed by the Petitioners before the concerned Magistrate, the Magistrate shall dispose of such application within fifteen days in accordance with the provisions of law.

[R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR, J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.]